# EIA Research Impact Template

1. **Institution**

2. **Unit of Assessment**
   - Primary SEO Code:
   - Secondary SEO Code (if needed):
   - Secondary SEO Code (if needed):

3. **Title of Case Study**

4. **Context**

5. **Summary of the Case Study Impact** *(indicative maximum 100 words)*
   This section should briefly state what specific impact is being described in the case study and the link to the underlying research.

6. **Details of the Impact** *(indicative maximum 750 words)*
   This section should provide a narrative, with supporting evidence, to explain:
   - How the research underpinned (made a distinct and material contribution to) the impact.
   - The nature and extent of the impact.
   The following should be provided:
   - A clear explanation of the process or means through which the research led to, underpinned or made a contribution to the impact (for example, how it was disseminated, how it came to influence users or beneficiaries, or how it came to be exploited, taken up or applied).
   - Where the submitted unit’s research was part of a wider body of research that contributed to the impact (for example, where there has been research collaboration with other institutions), the case study should specify the particular contribution of the submitted unit’s research and acknowledge other key research contributions.
   - Details of the beneficiaries – who or what community, constituency or organisation has benefitted, been affected or impacted on.
   - Details of the nature of the impact – how they have benefitted, been affected or impacted on.
   - Evidence or indicators of the extent of the impact described, as appropriate to the case being made.
   - Dates of when these impacts occurred.
7. **Research Underpinning Impact** (indicative maximum 500 words)

This section should outline the key research insights or findings that underpinned the impact, and provide details of what research was undertaken, when, and by whom. References to specific research outputs that embody the research described in this section, and evidence of its quality, should be provided in section 8.

Details of the following should be provided in this section:

- The nature of the research insights or findings which relate to the impact claimed in the case study.
- An outline of what the underpinning research produced by the submitted unit was (this may relate to one or more research outputs, projects or programmes).
- Dates of when it was carried out.
- Any relevant key contextual information about this area of research.

8. **Research Outputs from Research Underpinning Impact** (maximum of ten references)

This section should provide references to key outputs from the research described in the previous section, and evidence about the quality of the research. These may also include references spanning creative works, patents etc where relevant to a case study.

Include the following details for each cited output:

- Author(s).
- Title.
- Year of publication.
- Type of output and other relevant details required to identify the output (for example journal title and issue).
- Details to enable the panel to gain access to the output, if required (for example, a DOI or URL) or can be supplied by the institution on request.

All outputs cited in this section must be capable of being made available to panels.

Evidence of the quality of the research must also be provided in this section.

9. **Additional Information**

a) **Validation of the Impact** (indicative maximum of 10 references)

This section should list sufficient sources that could, if audited, corroborate key claims made about the impact of the unit’s research. These could include, as appropriate to the case study, the following external sources of corroboration (stating which claim each source provides corroboration for):

- Reports, reviews, web links or other documented sources of information in the public domain.
- Confidential reports or documents (if listed, these must be made available by the institution if audited).
- Individual users/beneficiaries who could be contacted by the Assessment Panel to corroborate claims.
- Factual statements already provided to the institution by key users/beneficiaries, that corroborate specific claims made in the case study and that could be made available to the Assessment Panel by the institution if audited.

b) **People**

i. **Staff**

ii. **Others** (including research students, end-users or beneficiaries of the research)
### c) Research and Investment Income

This section is free format but the following should be provided for each grant or source of income used to prosecute the research or the impact:

- Who the grant was awarded to.
- The grant title.
- Sponsor.
- Period of the grant (with dates).
- Value of the grant.

This template is modelled on a combination of REF3A and REF5A templates from the 2014 UK REF. Their use for this EIA Impact Trial is acknowledged.